# nanni

## Copper-Mediated Trifluoromethylation Using Phenyl Trifluoromethyl **Sulfoxide**

Xinjin Li,<sup>†,‡</sup> Jingwei Zhao,<sup>‡</sup> Liang Zhang,<sup>‡</sup> Mingyou Hu,<sup>‡</sup> Limin Wang,<sup>\*,†</sup> and Jinbo Hu<sup>\*,‡</sup>

† Key Laboratory of Advanced Materials, Institute of Fine Chemicals, East China University [of](#page-2-0) Science and Tech[nolo](#page-2-0)gy, 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, China

‡ Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [A new metho](#page-2-0)d for the generation of trifluoromethylcopper  $("CuCF<sub>3</sub>")$  species from readily available phenyl trifluoromethyl sulfoxide has been developed. The "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" reagent can be applied in efficient trifluoromethylations of aryl iodides and activated aryl bromides in the absence of additional ligands. Furthermore, the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species can



also undergo oxidative cross-coupling with terminal alkynes and arylboronic acids.

rganofluorine compounds have been the subject of increasing research activity in recent years, since the incorporation of fluorine into bioactive compounds could enhance their lipophilicity and metabolic stability, among others.<sup>1,2</sup> In this context, aromatic compounds bearing  $CF_3$ group(s) are frequently used in medicinal and agricultural chemi[stry](#page-3-0). Hence, it is of great interest to develop new methods for the selective introduction of trifluoromethyl groups onto aromatic rings. As a result, various synthetic methods of aromatic trifluoromethylation have been reported, including transitionmetal-mediated or -catalyzed trifluoromethylation<sup>3</sup> as well as radical<sup>4</sup> and electrophilic trifluoromethylation.<sup>5</sup> Among various transition-metal-assisted methods for incorporati[ng](#page-3-0)  $CF<sub>3</sub>$  into arenes[,](#page-3-0) copper-mediated trifluoromethylatio[n](#page-3-0) has been most extensively studied due to its high efficiency and the relatively low cost of copper.<sup>6</sup> Trifluoromethylcopper ("CuCF<sub>3</sub>"), which is often generated prior to the desired reaction, is a relatively stable and highly usef[ul](#page-3-0) trifluoromethylating agent.<sup>6f,j,l</sup>

 $Me<sub>3</sub>SiCF<sub>3</sub>$  (Ruppert–Prakash reagent),′ a useful nulceophilic trifluoromethylating agent, can be used to [gen](#page-3-0)erate "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" utilizing a copper $(I)$  salt.<sup>8</sup> A new me[th](#page-3-0)od for the efficient generation of "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" from CF<sub>3</sub>H has been developed by Grushin et al. via treatme[nt](#page-3-0) of CuCl and 2 equiv of  $\mathrm{^tBuOK}^{\mathrm{6l},9}$ More recently, Mikami et al. have also found that  $PhCOCF<sub>3</sub>$  can be used to generate "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" with a similar protoco[l.](#page-3-0)<sup>1[0](#page-3-0)</sup> Previously, we were interested in the exploration of the synthetic application of fluorinated sulfones, sulfoxides, and sulfo[xi](#page-3-0)mines.<sup>11</sup> Phenyl trifluoromethyl sulfone (PhSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>) and phenyl trifluoromethyl sulfoxide (PhSOCF<sub>3</sub>) as good nucleophilic trifluo[rom](#page-3-0)ethylation reagents have been investigated.<sup>12</sup> Both  $PhSO_2CF_3$  and  $PhSOCF_3$  are commercially available and can be easily prepared from  $PhSCF_3$  through oxidation (Sche[me](#page-3-0) 1).<sup>13</sup> However, transition-metal-mediated trifluoromethylation with  $PhSO_2CF_3$  or  $PhSOCF_3$  is rare. It was found that CuI-m[ed](#page-3-0)iated trifluoromethylation of iodobenzene with  $PhSO_2CF_3$  was

Scheme 1. Preparation and Application of Phenyl Trifluoromethyl Sulfone or Sulfoxide



inefficient, and a 26% yield of benzotrifluoride was obtained.<sup>12b</sup> Herein, we report an efficient method to generate "CuCF $_3$ " from  $PhSOCF<sub>3</sub>$  and its trifluoromethylation of aryl halides, termi[nal](#page-3-0) alkynes, and arylboronic acids.

At the onset of our investigation, we employed phenyl trifluoromethyl sulfone (PhSO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>, 1a) as the CF<sub>3</sub> source. Into the mixture of CuCl (0.3 mmol) and  $^t{\rm BuOK}$  (2 equiv) in DMF at rt for 30 min, 1a was added dropwise at the same temperature for 30 min under an argon atmosphere. As expected, a 17% yield of "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" ( $\delta$  = −24.1 ppm) was detected by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy (Table 1, entry 1), which matched well with the previous reported results.<sup>6f,f,8e,9,10</sup> The results obtained under various reaction con[di](#page-1-0)tions are listed in Table 1. The use of another nucleophilic base <sup>†</sup>[BuONa](#page-3-0) gave a lower yield (Table 1, entry 2), while the addition of 'BuOLi and MeO[Na](#page-1-0) did not give the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species at all (Table 1, entries 3–4). There are t[wo](#page-1-0) possible reasons. First of all, the formed dialkoxycuprate  $[K(DMF)][({}^t\text{BuO})_2\text{Cu}]$  (as rep[ort](#page-1-0)ed by Grushin<sup>61</sup>) has a high nucleophilicity to activate 1a. Second, the potassium ion plays a

Received: November 23, 2014 Published: December 26, 2014

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Screening of Formation of " $\mathrm{CuCF_{3}}^{na}$



<sup>a</sup>Unless otherwise noted, the reactions were performed by adding CuCl (0.3 mmol) and initiator into solvent (1 mL) at rt, and then 1a (entries 1−11) or 1b (entries 12−15) was added into the solution at the same temperature for  $30$  min under argon atmosphere.  $\frac{b}{100}$  Yields were determined by  $^{19}$ F NMR spectroscopy using PhOCF<sub>3</sub> as an internal standard.  $\text{CuI}$  (0.3 mmol) was used.  $\text{HMSOCF}_3$  (0.33 mmol)  $\frac{1}{2}$  was used.  $\frac{e}{2}$  PhSOCF<sub>3</sub> (0.39 mmol) was used. "[Cu(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup>" (10%, <sup>19</sup>F NMR) was produced.

key role in the cupration reaction. $^{15}$  When the amount of  $^t{\rm BuOK}$ was increased to 3 equiv, the formation of "CuCF $_3$ " was enhanced to a 72% yield (Table [1,](#page-3-0) entry 5). However, a greater excess of 'BuOK led to a lower yield because of some side reactions (Table 1, entries 6−7). Furthermore, the employment of CuI failed to improve the yield of "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" (Table 1, entry 8). Other aprotic polar solvents were also tested, but with less success (Table 1, entries 9−11). It is worth noting that the formation of a small amount of  $CF<sub>3</sub>H$  was always observed in these reactions,<sup>14</sup> which may be caused by the moisture from solvent and/or reagent. This result indicates that  $CF_3^-$  is produced in th[e p](#page-3-0)rocess of generating "CuCF $_3$ ".

To improve the yield of "CuCF3", phenyl trifluoromethyl sulfoxide (PhSOCF<sub>3</sub>, 1b) was employed as the trifluoromethyl precursor. Disappointedly, only a 50% yield of "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" was obtained under the optimized conditions on the basis of 1a (Table 1, entry 12). However, when 2 equiv of 'BuOK were used, to our delight, the yield of " $CuCF_3$ " was improved to 93% (Table 1, entry 13). As a result, the generation of  $CF<sub>3</sub>H$  was also dramatically reduced. A higher yield of "CuCF $_3$ " was obtained when the loading of 1b was increased to 1.1 equiv (Table 1, entry 14). It is noteworthy that a little excess of 1b results in a new species (−30.6 ppm in <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy), which is assigned as " $\left[\text{Cu}(\text{CF}_3)_2\right]$ <sup>-</sup>" on the basis of the literature data.<sup>16</sup> Consequently, when 1.3 equiv of 1b was used, the total yield of "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species was increased to 99% (Table 1, entry 15), wi[th](#page-3-0) 89% contribution from L·CuCF<sub>3</sub> (-24.3 ppm in <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy) and 10% from  $\left[\mathrm{Cu(CF_3)_2}\right]^-$  ( $\overline{-}30.6$  ppm in  $^{19}$ F NMR spectroscopy).

These results indicate that  $PhSOCF<sub>3</sub>$  is an efficient precursor to generate the " $CuCF<sub>3</sub>$ " species, and the competing reaction to produce  $CF<sub>3</sub>H$  can be inhibited. Furthermore, we also compared





the reactivity of  $PhSOCF_3$  and  $PhSO_2CF_3$  in generating "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" under the optimal conditions (Scheme 2). The results indicate that PhSOCF<sub>3</sub> is much more reactive than  $PhSO_2CF_3$  to generate "CuCF<sub>3</sub>", and that the formation of "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" generated from  $PhSOCF<sub>3</sub>$  is less than 30 min (see Supporting Information (SI), section 3 for details). It should be noted that this is the first report that PhSOCF<sub>3</sub> is more efficient than  $PhSO_2CF_3$  in the generation of the "CuCF<[s](#page-2-0)ub>3</sub>" species, which is [in](#page-2-0) [sharp](#page-2-0) [contrast](#page-2-0) [to](#page-2-0) [the](#page-2-0) magnesium-mediated reductive trifluoromethylation of chlorosilanes.<sup>12a</sup> The low reactivity of  $PhSO_2CF_3$  for generating the "CuCF3" species could be attributed to the steric hindrance of sulfone [\(co](#page-3-0)mpared to sulfoxide) during the nucleophilic attack of an alkoxide. Indeed, when we used  $PhSOCF_3$  as the precursor to generate the " $CuCF_3$ " species in the presence of  $^t$ BuOK, benzenesulfinate  $(\text{PhSO}_{2}{}^{t}\!\bar{\text{Bu}})$  was produced quantitatively.

Next, we examined the stability of "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" under various conditions. The "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species generated from  $PhSOCF_3$  was gradually decomposed under air. Furthermore, the yield of "CuCF3" decreased from 93% to 72% after 24 h under an argon atomsphere at rt. Similar to Grushin's report,<sup>9</sup> we found that the addition of  $Et_3N·3HF$  could stabilize the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species generated from  $PhSOCF_3$  (see SI, section 4.1[\)](#page-3-0), but the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy signal of the stabilized "CuCF $_3$ " species shifted slightly from –24.5 to –26.5 p[pm](#page-2-0), and the signal of  $\left[ \text{Cu(CF}_3 \right)_2 \right]^$ at −30.6 ppm was increased.

With an efficient method for generating "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" in hand (Table 1, entry 15), we further employed the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species for the trifluoromethylation of various aryl halides. Initially, we attempted the reaction of iodobenzene with the stabilized trifluoromethylcopper at rt for 24 h. However, only a trace amount of trifluoromethylated product was detected by  $^{19}F$ NMR spectroscopy. When the reaction was carried out at 50  $^{\circ}$ C, to our delight, the product benzotrifluoride was formed in 50% yield after 28 h, but no obvious improvement was found when we prolonged the reaction time. Finally, the trifluoromethylation of iodobenzene proceeded smoothly at 80 °C under the standard conditions. Furthermore, it was found that the trifluoromethylation of aryl iodides proceeded well in the absence of any ligands, which is different from the previous reports.<sup>16b-d,17</sup> The representative results are summarized in Scheme 3. Generally, both electron-rich and -deficient aryl iodides react[ed w](#page-3-0)e[ll u](#page-3-0)nder the optimal conditions. For electron-deficient iodo[are](#page-2-0)nes, nearly quantitative conversions (monitored by GC-MS) were achieved even within 16 h (Scheme 3, 2b, 2c, 2f, 2j, 2l). Ether, acetyl, halide, ester, and nitrile were tolerated under the standard reaction conditions. In add[iti](#page-2-0)on, trifluoromethylated heteroarenes were also obtained in good yields (Scheme 3, 2m−2n). It is noted that thiophene was not observed by GC-MS in the

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

<sup>a</sup>All reactions were performed by adding ArX ( $X = I$ , Br) into the pregenerated "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species stabilized by Et<sub>3</sub>N·3HF. For 2a-2n, the substrates were ArI; for 2o−2q, the substrates were ArBr. <sup>b</sup> Reactions were performed on 0.1 mmol scale  $(n = 2)$  in DMF  $(1 \text{ mL})$  under an argon atmosphere. Yields were determined by  $^{19}$ F NMR spectroscopy using PhOCF<sub>3</sub> as an internal standard.  $\epsilon$  Reactions were performed on 0.5 mmol scale (n = 1.5) in DMF (2.5 mL) under an argon atmosphere. Yields were of isolated products. <sup>d</sup> The yields were determined by  $^{19}$ F NMR spectroscopy using PhOCF<sub>3</sub> as an internal standard. <sup>e</sup> The reaction was performed on 0.1 mmol scale ( $n = 2$ ) in DMF (1 mL) under an argon atmosphere, and the yield was determined by  $^{19}$ F NMR spectroscopy using PhCF<sub>3</sub> as an internal standard.

trifluoromethylation of 2-iodothiophene, which was shown as a side product reported by Grushin.<sup>9</sup> Compared to iodoarenes, trifluoromethylation of bromoarenes with the copper reagent is known to be more challenging. In [ou](#page-3-0)r case, only some electrondeficient bromoarenes reacted smoothly with the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species to afford the trifluoromethylated products in moderate to good yields (Scheme 3, 2o−2q).

The copper-mediated oxidative cross-coupling of trifluoromethylcopper with nucleophilic substrates have proven to be powerful trifluoromethylation strategies.<sup>18</sup> We envisioned that the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species generated from PhSOCF<sub>3</sub> may also work well under the standard conditions. Init[ial](#page-3-0)ly, we attempted the oxidative trifluoromethylation of terminal alkynes. We were delighted to find that the corresponding products were obtained in moderate yields when a 2-fold excess of the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" reagent was used (Scheme 4). It should be noted that, to inhibit the homocoulping of alkynes, alkynes were added slowly by a syringe pump to the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species in DMF under an air atmosphere. Furthermore, the addition of tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) as a ligand was also the key to enhancing product yields.

It was also encouraging to find that the trifluoromethylcopper reagent also reacted with arylboronic acids under an air

Scheme 4. Trifluoromethylation of Terminal Alkynes with "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" Generated from  $PhSOCF<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>All reactions were performed by adding akyne (0.1 mmol) and TMEDA (2 equiv) into the pregenerated "CuCF $_3$ " species (2 equiv) stabilized by  $Et_3N·3HF.$  b Yields were determined by  $^{19}F$  NMR spectroscopy using  $PhCF_3$  as an internal standard.  $\degree$  The yield was determined by  $^{19}F$  NMR spectroscopy using PhOCF<sub>3</sub> as an internal standard.

#### Scheme 5. Trifluoromethylation of Arylboronic Acids with "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" Generated from  $PhSOCF<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>"</sup>



<sup>a</sup>All reactions were performed by adding arylboronic acid (0.1 mmol) into the pregenerated "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species (2 equiv) stabilized by  $Et_3N$ · 3HF. Yields were determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy using PhOCF<sub>3</sub> as an internal standard.  $\frac{b}{b}$  TMEDA (0.2 mmol) was used.

atmosphere at rt to give the trifluoromethylated products in up to 95% yield. Some representative results are summarized in Scheme 5.

In summary, we have shown the synthetic applications of phenyl trifluoromethyl sulfones and sulfoxides in coppermediated trifluoromethylation and developed a new method for the synthesis of the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species from PhSOCF<sub>3</sub>. It is worth noting that  $PhSOCF_3$  is more reactive than  $PhSO_2CF_3$  in the formation of the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species (up to 99% yield). The formed "CuCF $_3$ " reagent has been found to be remarkably efficient in the trifluoromethylation of aryl iodides in the absence of additional ligands. Some activated aryl bromides can also be trifluoromethylated in moderate to good yields. Furthermore, the oxidative cross-coupling of terminal alkynes or arylboronic acids with the "CuCF<sub>3</sub>" species has afforded the corresponding products in good yields.

#### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and characterization data for products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: wanglimin@ecust.edu.cn.

\*E-mail: jinbohu@sioc.ac.cn.

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>Organic Letters<br>■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2015CB931900, 2012CB215500), National Natural Science Foundation of China (21421002, 21372246, 21102163, 21272069, and 20825209). We also thank Professor Shizheng Zhu (SIOC) for helpful discussions.

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Nagib, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Nature 2011, 480, 224. (b) Furuya, T.; Kamlet, A. S.; Ritter, T. Nature 2011, 473, 470. (c) Prakash, G. K. S.; Jog, P. V.; Batamack, P. T. D.; Olah, G. A. Science 2012, 338, 1324. (d) Schlosser, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5432. (e) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6119. (f) Shibata, N.; Mizuta, S.; Kawai, H. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2008, 19, 2633. (f) Qing, F.-L. Chin. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 32, 815.

(2) (a) Bégué, J.-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2008. (b) Uneyama, K. Organofluorine Chemistry; Blackwell: Oxford, U.K., 2006. (c) O'Hagan, D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 308. (d) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214. (e) Wu, X.-F; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Chem.-Asian J. 2012, 7, 1744.

(3) (a) Burton, D. J.; Yang, Z. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 189. (b) McClinton, M. A.; McClinton, D. A. Tetrahedron 1992, 32, 6555. (c) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475.

(4) For selected examples, see: (a) Langlois, B. R.; Laurent, E.; Roidot, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7525. (b) Ji, Y.; Brueckl, T.; Baxter, R. D.; Fujiwara, Y.; Seiple, I. B.; Su, S.; Blackmond, D. G.; Baran, P. S. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2011, 108, 14411. (c) Wakselman, C.; Tordeux, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1701. (d) Sawada, H.; Nakayama, M. J. Fluorine Chem. 1990, 46, 423. (e) Kamigata, N.; Fukushima, T.; Yoshida, M. Chem. Lett. 1990, 4, 649. (f) Kino, T.; Nagase, Y.; Ohtsuka, Y.; Yamamoto, K.; Uraguchi, D.; Tokuhisa, K.; Yamakawa, T. J. Fluorine Chem. 2010, 131, 98. (g) Ye, Y.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9034.

(5) (a) Umemoto, T. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1757. (b) Liu, T.; Shen, Q. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2342. (c) Wiehn, M. S.; Vinogradova, E. V.; Togni, A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2010, 131, 951.

(6) For selected examples, see: (a) McLoughlin, V. C. R.; Thrower, J. Tetrahedron 1969, 25, 5921. (b) Kobayashi, Y.; Kumadaki, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 10, 4095. (c) Kobayashi, Y.; Kumadaki, I. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1980, 661. (d) Matsui, K.; Tobita, E.; Ando, M.; Kondo, K. Chem. Lett. 1981, 10, 1719. (e) Suzuki, H.; Yoshida, Y.; Osuka, A. Chem. Lett. 1982, 11, 135. (f) Wiemers, D. M.; Burton, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 832. (g) Umemoto, T.; Ando, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1986, 59, 447. (h) Chen, Q.-Y.; Wu, S.-W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 1989, 705. (i) Oishi, M.; Kondo, H.; Amii, H. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1909. (j) Morimoto, H.; Tsubogo, T.; Litvinas, N. D.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3793. (k) Knauber, T.; Arikan, F.; Röschenthaler, G.-V.; Gooβen, L. J. Chem.--Eur. J. 2011, 17, 2689. (l) Zanardi, A.; Novikov, M. A.; Martin, E.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Grushin, V. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20901.

(7) (a) Ruppert, I.; Schlich, K.; Volbach, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2195. (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Krishnamuti, R.; Olah, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 393.

(8) For selected examples, see: (a) Urata, H.; Fuchikami, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 91. (b) Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7262. (c) Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5060. (d) Senecal, T. D.; Parsons, A. T.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 1174. (e) Hu, M.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15257. (f) Hu, M.; He, Z.; Gao, B.; Li, L.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17302.

(9) Lishchynskyi, A.; Novikov, M. A.; Martin, E.; Escudero-Adán, E. C.; Novák, P.; Grushin, V. V. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11126.

(10) Serizawa, H.; Aikawa, K.; Mikami, K. Chem.-Eur. J. 2013, 19, 17692.

(11) (a) Hu, J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2009, 130, 1130. (b) Hu, J.; Zhang, W.; Wang, F. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7465. (c) Prakash, G. K. S.; Hu, J. Acc.

Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 921. (d) Ni, C.; Hu, M.; Hu, J. Chem. Rev. 2015, DOI: 10.1021/cr5002386.

(12) (a) Prakash, G. K. S.; Hu, J.; Olah, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4457. (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Hu, J.; Olah, G. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3253. (c) Zhao, Y.; Zhu, J.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. Synthesis 2010, 11, 1899.

(13) (a) Large, S.; Roques, N.; Langlois, B. R. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8848. (b) Russell, J.; Roques, N. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 13771.

(14) Barrera, M. D.; Cheburkov, Y.; Lamanna, W. M. J. Fluorine Chem. 2002, 117, 13.

(15) Konovalov, A. I.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Martin, E.; Grushin, V. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11637.

(16) (a) Willert-Porada, M. A.; Burton, D. J.; Baenziger, N. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1633. (b) Dubinina, G. G.; Ogikubo, J.; Vicic, D. A. Organometallics 2008, 27, 6233. (c) Tomashenko, O. A.; Escudero-Adan, E. C.; Belmonte, M. M.; Grushin, V. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7655.

(17) Dubinina, G. G.; Furutachi, H.; Vicic, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8600.

(18) For a recent review, see: Chu, L.; Qing, F.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1513.

#### ■ NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

In Table 1, the initiators in entries 1 and 2 were corrected on December 29, 2014; this was due to a production error.